

# NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL Monterey, California



## DISSERTATION

**A VIRTUAL WORLD  
FOR AN  
AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER VEHICLE**

Donald P. Brutzman

December 1994

Dissertation Supervisor:

Michael J. Zyda

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

# A VIRTUAL WORLD FOR AN AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER VEHICLE

Donald P. Brutzman  
B.S.E.E., U.S. Naval Academy, 1978  
M.S., Naval Postgraduate School, 1992

A critical bottleneck exists in Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) design and development. It is tremendously difficult to observe, communicate with and test underwater robots, because they operate in a remote and hazardous environment where physical dynamics and sensing modalities are counterintuitive.

An underwater virtual world can comprehensively model all salient functional characteristics of the real world in real time. This virtual world is designed from the perspective of the robot, enabling realistic AUV evaluation and testing in the laboratory. Three-dimensional real-time computer graphics are our window into that virtual world.

Visualization of robot interactions within a virtual world permits sophisticated analyses of robot performance that are otherwise unavailable. Sonar visualization permits researchers to accurately "look over the robot's shoulder" or even "see through the robot's eyes" to intuitively understand sensor-environment interactions. Extending the theoretical derivation of a set of six-degree-of-freedom hydrodynamics equations has provided a fully general physics-based model capable of producing highly non-linear yet experimentally-verifiable response in real time.

Distribution of underwater virtual world components enables scalability and real-time response. The IEEE Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) protocol is used for compatible live interaction with other virtual worlds. Network connections allow remote access, demonstrated via Multicast Backbone (Mbone) audio and video collaboration with researchers at remote locations. Integrating the World-Wide Web allows rapid access to resources distributed across the Internet.

This dissertation presents the frontier of 3D real-time graphics to support underwater robotics, scientific ocean exploration, sonar visualization and worldwide collaboration.

Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science  
December 1994

Supervisor: Michael J. Zyda  
Department of Computer Science

Classification of Dissertation:  
**UNCLASSIFIED**

**REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE**

Form Approved OMB No. 0704

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instruction, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188) Washington DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY	2. REPORT DATE December 1994	3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED Ph.D. Dissertation	
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE <b>A VIRTUAL WORLD FOR AN AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER VEHICLE</b>		5. FUNDING NUMBERS	
6. AUTHOR Donald P. Brutzman		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER	
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) Naval Postgraduate School Monterey CA 93943-5000		10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER	
9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) N/A		11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES The views expressed in this dissertation are those of the author and do not reflect the official policy or position of the Department of Defense or the U.S. Government.	
12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE	
13. ABSTRACT A critical bottleneck exists in Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) design and development. It is tremendously difficult to observe, communicate with and test underwater robots, because they operate in a remote and hazardous environment where physical dynamics and sensing modalities are counterintuitive. An underwater virtual world can comprehensively model all salient functional characteristics of the real world in real time. This virtual world is designed from the perspective of the robot, enabling realistic AUV evaluation and testing in the laboratory. Three-dimensional real-time computer graphics are our window into that virtual world. Visualization of robot interactions within a virtual world permits sophisticated analyses of robot performance that are otherwise unavailable. Sonar visualization permits researchers to accurately "look over the robot's shoulder" or even "see through the robot's eyes" to intuitively understand sensor-environment interactions. Extending the theoretical derivation of a set of six-degree-of-freedom hydrodynamics equations has provided a fully general physics-based model capable of producing highly non-linear yet experimentally-verifiable response in real time. Distribution of underwater virtual world components enables scalability and real-time response. The IEEE Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) protocol is used for compatible live interaction with other virtual worlds. Network connections allow remote access, demonstrated via Multicast Backbone (Mbone) audio and video collaboration with researchers at remote locations. Integrating the World-Wide Web allows rapid access to resources distributed across the Internet.			
14. SUBJECT TERMS Virtual worlds, autonomous underwater vehicles, robotics, computer graphics, networking, hydrodynamics, real time, artificial intelligence, control systems, sonar, scientific visualization.		15. NUMBER OF PAGES 291	16. PRICE CODE
17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT Unclassified	18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE Unclassified	19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT Unclassified	20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT UL

NSN 7540-01-280-5500

Standard Form 298 (Rev. 2-89)

Prescribed by ANSI Std. Z39-18

Approved for public release; distribution is unlimited.

A VIRTUAL WORLD FOR AN AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER VEHICLE

by

Donald P. Brutzman  
B.S.E.E., U.S. Naval Academy, 1978  
M.S., Naval Postgraduate School, 1992

Submitted in partial fulfillment  
of the requirements for the degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Computer Science

from the

NAVAL POSTGRADUATE SCHOOL  
December 1994

Author:

---

Donald P. Brutzman

Approved by:

---

Michael J. Zyda, Professor of Computer Science

---

Robert. B. McGhee  
Professor of Computer Science

---

Anthony J. Healey  
Professor of Mechanical Engineering

---

Michael P. Bailey, Associate  
Professor of Operations Research

---

Man-Tak Shing, Associate  
Professor of Computer Science

Approved by:

---

Ted Lewis, Chair, Department of Computer Science

Approved by:

---

Richard S. Elster, Dean of Instruction

## **ABSTRACT**

A critical bottleneck exists in Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) design and development. It is tremendously difficult to observe, communicate with and test underwater robots, because they operate in a remote and hazardous environment where physical dynamics and sensing modalities are counterintuitive.

An underwater virtual world can comprehensively model all salient functional characteristics of the real world in real time. This virtual world is designed from the perspective of the robot, enabling realistic AUV evaluation and testing in the laboratory. Three-dimensional real-time computer graphics are our window into that virtual world.

Visualization of robot interactions within a virtual world permits sophisticated analyses of robot performance that are otherwise unavailable. Sonar visualization permits researchers to accurately "look over the robot's shoulder" or even "see through the robot's eyes" to intuitively understand sensor-environment interactions. Extending the theoretical derivation of a set of six-degree-of-freedom hydrodynamics equations has provided a fully general physics-based model capable of producing highly non-linear yet experimentally-verifiable response in real time.

Distribution of underwater virtual world components enables scalability and real-time response. The IEEE Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) protocol is used for compatible live interaction with other virtual worlds. Network connections allow remote access, demonstrated via Multicast Backbone (MBone) audio and video collaboration with researchers at remote locations. Integrating the World-Wide Web allows rapid access to resources distributed across the Internet.

This dissertation presents the frontier of 3D real-time graphics to support underwater robotics, scientific ocean exploration, sonar visualization and worldwide collaboration.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Many people helped in this work. Mike Zyda is the best dissertation advisor anyone might hope for. His insight, support and enthusiasm are boundless. Bob McGhee and Tony Healey showed unlimited patience and insight as we explored the frontiers of dynamics modeling. Mike Bailey taught me analytical and discrete event simulation. He and Man-Tak Shing also gave valuable advice on the Ph.D. process. Mike Macedonia's unparalleled understanding of computer networks helped make an entire field intelligible.

Dave Pratt blazed the trail with NPSNET, still the best virtual world around and still gaining on all the others. Dave provided crucial academic advice and also the financial support which made the *SIGGRAPH 94* exhibit at *The Edge* possible. I am indebted to everyone who helped make that weeklong demonstration possible, especially Shirley Isakari Pratt, John Roesli, Frank Tipton, Jim Vaglia, Matt Johnson, Chris Stapleton, Garry Paxinos, Jacki Ford Morie, Theresa-Marie Rhyne, Paul Barham, John Locke, Steve Zeswitz, Rosalie Johnson, Russ and Sue Whalen, Walt Landaker, Dave Marco, Mike Williams, Terry Williams, Hank Hankins and Hollis Berry. I also thank Richard Hamming, Peter Purdue, Gordon Bradley, Jim Eagle, Ted Lewis, Mike McCann, Bruce Gritton, Mike Lee, David Warren, Dave Norman, John Sanders, Dick Blidberg, SeHung Kwak, Ron Byrnes, Drew Bennett, Jim Bales, Jim Bellingham, Alan Beam, Claude Brancart, Rodney Luck, John Gambrino, and Larry Ziomek for their help. Support for this research was provided in part by the National Science Foundation under Grant BCS-9306252 to the Naval Postgraduate School.

This work is dedicated with love and thanks to my wife Terri and our children Hilary, Rebecca, Sarah and Patrick.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. A VIRTUAL WORLD FOR AN AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER VEHICLE . . . . .	1
A. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	1
B. MOTIVATION . . . . .	1
C. OBJECTIVES . . . . .	4
D. DISSERTATION ORGANIZATION . . . . .	5
II. REVIEW OF RELATED WORK . . . . .	7
A. INTRODUCTION . . . . .	7
B. UNDERWATER ROBOTICS . . . . .	7
1. ARPA/Navy Unmanned Undersea Vehicle (UUV) . . . . .	8
2. Massachusetts Institute of Technology (MIT) <i>Odyssey</i> Class AUVs . . . . .	10
3. Marine Systems Engineering Laboratory <i>EAVE</i> Vehicles . . . . .	11
4. Florida Atlantic University (FAU) <i>Ocean Voyager II</i> . . . . .	12
5. Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute (MBARI) Ocean Technology Testbed for Engineering Research ( <i>OTTER</i> ) . . . . .	13
6. Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI) Autonomous Benthic Explorer ( <i>ABE</i> ) . . . . .	15
7. Explosive Ordnance Disposal Robotics Work Package (EODRWP) . . . . .	16
8. Miniature AUVs . . . . .	17
C. ROBOTICS AND SIMULATION . . . . .	18
1. NPS AUV Integrated Simulator . . . . .	18
2. ARPA/Navy UUV Hybrid Simulator . . . . .	19

3.	NASA Ames Intelligent Machines Group (IMG):	
	Telepresence Remotely Operated Vehicle ( <i>TROV</i> ) . . . . .	21
4.	University of Hawaii: Omni-Directional Intelligent Navigator	
	( <i>ODIN</i> ) . . . . .	23
5.	Tuohy: "Simulation Model for AUV Navigation" . . . . .	24
6.	Chen: "Simulation and Animation of Sensor-Driven Robots" . . . . .	24
7.	Yale University: Ars Magna Abstract Robot Simulator . . . . .	25
D.	UNDERWATER VEHICLE DYNAMICS . . . . .	25
	1. Healey: Underwater Vehicle Dynamics Model . . . . .	25
	2. Fossen: <i>Guidance and Control of Ocean Vehicles</i> . . . . .	26
	3. ARPA/Navy UUV Hydrodynamics Simulation . . . . .	26
	4. Yuh: "Modeling and Control of Underwater Robotic Vehicles" . . . . .	26
	5. U.S. Navy Submarine Hydrodynamics . . . . .	26
E.	NETWORKED COMMUNICATIONS FOR VIRTUAL WORLDS . . . . .	27
	1. SIMulation NETworking (SIMNET) Architecture . . . . .	27
	2. Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) Protocol . . . . .	28
	3. NPSNET . . . . .	29
	4. Macedonia: "Exploiting Reality with Multicast Groups" . . . . .	30
	5. Gelernter: <i>Mirror Worlds</i> and Linda . . . . .	31
	6. Distributed Interactive Virtual Environment (DIVE) . . . . .	32
	7. Other Network Communication Systems for Virtual Worlds . . . . .	33
F.	SONAR MODELING AND VISUALIZATION . . . . .	34
	1. Etter: Acoustic Modeling . . . . .	34
	2. Stewart: Stochastic Backprojection and Sonar Visualization . . . . .	36
	3. Ziomek: Recursive Ray Acoustics (RRA) Algorithm . . . . .	37
	4. Additional Work in Sonar Visualization . . . . .	38
G.	ONGOING AND FUTURE PROJECTS . . . . .	39
	1. <i>JASON</i> ROV and the Jason Project . . . . .	39
	2. Acoustic Oceanographic Sampling Network (AOSN) . . . . .	42

3.	MBARI-NASA Ames-Postgraduate School-Stanford Aerospace Robotics Lab (MAPS) Project . . . . .	43
4.	Live Worldwide Distribution of Events . . . . .	43
5.	Monterey Bay Regional Education and the Initiative for Information Infrastructure and Linkage Applications (I <sup>3</sup> LA) . . . . .	44
H.	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS . . . . .	46
III.	PROBLEM STATEMENT AND SOLUTION OVERVIEW . . . . .	47
A.	PROBLEM STATEMENT . . . . .	47
B.	PROPOSED SOLUTION . . . . .	47
C.	AUV DEVELOPMENT DIFFICULTIES . . . . .	47
D.	WHY AN UNDERWATER VIRTUAL WORLD? . . . . .	48
E.	AUV UNDERWATER VIRTUAL WORLD CHARACTERISTICS . . . . .	49
F.	NETWORKING . . . . .	50
G.	IMPORTANCE OF SENSORS . . . . .	52
H.	SONAR VISUALIZATION . . . . .	52
I.	PARADIGM SHIFTS: CONTENT, CONTEXT, AND WORLD IN THE LOOP . . . . .	53
IV.	NPS AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER VEHICLE . . . . .	55
A.	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	55
B.	UNDERWATER ROBOTICS . . . . .	55
1.	Underwater Vehicle Hardware . . . . .	56
2.	Robot Software Architectures . . . . .	58
C.	NPS AUV HARDWARE . . . . .	60
D.	NPS AUV SOFTWARE . . . . .	64
1.	Rational Behavior Model (RBM) Software Architecture . . . . .	65
2.	Multiple Operating Systems and Multiple Programming Languages . . . . .	66

3.	Execution Level Software . . . . .	68
4.	Communications Among AUV Processes and the Virtual World . .	71
E.	SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK . . . . .	78
V.	THREE-DIMENSIONAL REAL-TIME COMPUTER GRAPHICS . . . . .	80
A.	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	80
B.	DESIRED CHARACTERISTICS OF GRAPHICS VIEWER PROGRAMS . . . . .	80
C.	<i>Open Inventor</i> . . . . .	81
1.	Scene Description Language . . . . .	81
2.	Open Standards and Portability . . . . .	83
3.	Behavior Animation through Data Sensors, Timer Sensors and Engines . . . . .	84
D.	NETWORK LINKS TO GRAPHICS OBJECTS . . . . .	85
E.	SPECIAL METHODS . . . . .	88
F.	SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK . . . . .	88
VI.	UNDERWATER VEHICLE DYNAMICS MODEL . . . . .	89
A.	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	89
B.	COMPARISON OF DYNAMICS FOR GROUND VEHICLES, AIR VEHICLES, SPACE VEHICLES, SURFACE SHIPS AND UNDERWATER VEHICLES . . . . .	92
1.	Ground Vehicles . . . . .	93
2.	Air Vehicles . . . . .	94
3.	Space Vehicles . . . . .	95
4.	Surface Ships . . . . .	96
5.	Underwater Vehicles . . . . .	98
6.	Comparison Summary . . . . .	99

C.	COORDINATE SYSTEMS AND KINEMATIC EQUATIONS OF MOTION .....	100
D.	GENERAL REAL-TIME HYDRODYNAMICS MODEL FOR AN UNDERWATER VEHICLE .....	110
	1. Definitions .....	110
	2. Real Time .....	111
	3. Forces, Moments and Accelerations .....	113
	4. Time Dependencies .....	115
	5. Velocities and Postures .....	117
	6. Deriving Desired Form of Dynamics Equations of Motion .....	118
	7. Nomenclature Tables for Variables and Coefficients .....	122
	8. Modifications to Previous Dynamics Equations of Motion .....	141
	9. Dynamics Equations of Motion .....	146
	10. Mass and Inertia Matrix [M] .....	151
	11. Summary of Hydrodynamics Model Algorithm .....	154
E.	EULER ANGLE METHODS COMPARED TO QUATERNION METHODS .....	155
F.	DISTRIBUTED INTERACTIVE SIMULATION (DIS) AND NETWORK CONSIDERATIONS .....	159
G.	OBJECT-ORIENTED NETWORKED RIGID BODY DYNAMICS CLASS HIERARCHY .....	162
H.	SIMULATING ON-BOARD INERTIAL SENSORS .....	166
I.	SPECIAL EFFECTS AND FUTURE WORK: ROBUST CONTROL, TETHER, OCEAN SURFACE, COLLISION DETECTION .....	168
J.	SUMMARY .....	169
VII.	GLOBALLY NETWORKED 3D GRAPHICS AND VIRTUAL WORLDS .	171
	A. INTRODUCTION .....	171

B.	NETWORKING BENEFITS . . . . .	172
C.	BANDWIDTH SPECIFICATIONS FOR VIRTUAL WORLD NETWORKING . . . . .	172
D.	TERMINOLOGY AND NETWORK LAYERS . . . . .	174
E.	USE OF SOCKETS FOR VIRTUAL WORLD COMMUNICATION	176
F.	MULTICAST PROTOCOLS AND THE MULTICAST BACKBONE (MBone) . . . . .	177
G.	DISTRIBUTED INTERACTIVE SIMULATION (DIS) PROTOCOL USAGE . . . . .	181
H.	INTERNET-WIDE DISTRIBUTED HYPERMEDIA VIA THE WORLD-WIDE WEB (WWW) . . . . .	182
I.	NETWORK APPLICATION IMPLEMENTATION EXAMPLES . . .	185
J.	SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK . . . . .	187
VIII.	SONAR MODELING AND VISUALIZATION . . . . .	189
A.	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	189
B.	SOUND SPEED PROFILE (SSP) . . . . .	189
C.	MENTAL MODELS AND SCIENTIFIC VISUALIZATION CONSIDERATIONS . . . . .	192
D.	REAL-TIME SONAR MODEL RESPONSE AND THE RECURSIVE RAY ACOUSTICS (RRA) ALGORITHM . . . . .	193
E.	AN EXAMPLE GEOMETRIC SONAR MODEL . . . . .	194
F.	SONAR RENDERING FOR VISUALIZATION . . . . .	197
G.	SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK . . . . .	199
IX.	EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS . . . . .	201
A.	INTRODUCTION . . . . .	201
B.	PREDICTING AND ANALYZING REAL-WORLD BEHAVIOR IN THE LABORATORY . . . . .	201

C.	SIMULATION RUN ANALYSIS: <i>mission.script.siggraph</i> .....	204
D.	NETWORK TESTING AT <i>The Edge</i> .....	222
E.	SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK .....	224
X.	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS .....	226
A.	PRINCIPAL DISSERTATION CONCLUSIONS .....	226
B.	SPECIFIC CONCLUSIONS, RESULTS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE WORK .....	226
1.	Underwater Robotics .....	226
2.	Object-Oriented Real-Time Graphics .....	227
3.	Underwater Vehicle Hydrodynamics Models .....	227
4.	Networking .....	228
5.	Sonar Modeling and Visualization .....	228
C.	NEXT STEP: BUILDING A LARGE-SCALE UNDERWATER VIRTUAL WORLD .....	229
APPENDIX A.	ACRONYMS .....	230
APPENDIX B.	VIDEO DEMONSTRATION .....	234
A.	INTRODUCTION .....	234
B.	NPS AUV OPERATING IN THE UNDERWATER VIRTUAL WORLD: THE SIGGRAPH MISSION .....	234
C.	NPS AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER VEHICLE .....	235
D.	LIVE EXHIBIT AND WORLDWIDE MULTICAST AT <i>The Edge</i> , SIGGRAPH 94 .....	235
E.	NPS AUV WORLD-WIDE WEB HOME PAGE .....	236
F.	EXTENDED NPS AUV MISSION REPLAYS .....	236
G.	NPS AUV POSTURE CONTROL .....	236

H. MBone: AUDIO/VIDEO INTERNET TOOLS FOR INTERNATIONAL COLLABORATION .....	237
REFERENCES .....	238
INITIAL DISTRIBUTION LIST .....	263

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure 2.1.	ARPA/Navy Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUV) being readied for launch during mission trials (Brancart 94) (Brutzman 94a). . . . .	8
Figure 2.2.	ARPA/Navy Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUV) internal layout (Pappas 91). . . . .	9
Figure 2.3.	MIT <i>Odyssey II</i> in under-ice configuration. Deep-ocean configuration includes obstacle avoidance sonar, strobe light, altimeter sonar and video camera (Bellingham 94). . . . .	10
Figure 2.4.	Marine Systems Engineering Laboratory (MSEL) Experimental Autonomous Vehicle <i>EAVE II</i> equipment layout (Blidberg 90). . .	12
Figure 2.5.	Florida Atlantic University Ocean Voyager II (Smith 94). . . . .	13
Figure 2.6.	Video mosaic from Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute Ocean Technology Testbed for Engineering Research ( <i>OTTER</i> ) (Marks 94a, 94b) (Brutzman 94a). Note fish, upper right corner. .	14
Figure 2.7.	Woods Hole Oceanographic Institution (WHOI) Autonomous Benthic Explorer ( <i>ABE</i> ) mission profile (Yoerger 94). . . . .	15
Figure 2.8.	Lockheed Explosive Ordnance Disposal Robotics Work Package (EODRWP) and diver (Trimble 94a, 94b) (Brutzman 94a). . . . .	17
Figure 2.9.	NPS AUV Integrated Simulator showing playback of pool mission with autonomous sonar classification expert system results (Brutzman 92a, 92c, 92e) (Compton 92). . . . .	19
Figure 2.10.	ARPA/Navy Unmanned Underwater Vehicle (UUV) Hybrid Simulator wireframe graphics rendering of hardware-in-the-loop laboratory vehicle tests (Pappas 91) (Brancart 94) (Brutzman 93a, 94a). . . . .	20
Figure 2.11.	NASA Ames Intelligent Machines Group (IMG) Telepresence Remote Operated Vehicle ( <i>TROV</i> ) (Hine 94). . . . .	21
Figure 2.12.	University of Hawaii Omni-Directional Intelligent Navigator ( <i>ODIN</i> ) (Choi 94). . . . .	23

Figure 2.13.	NPSNET-IV virtual battlefield showing multiple active DIS-based entities, textured terrain and atmospheric effects running at high frame rates in real time (Pratt 93, 94b) (Zyda 93b). . . . .	29
Figure 2.14.	"Exploiting Reality with Multicast" - multiple DIS channels for geographic sectors, functional classes (e.g. communications) and temporal classes (e.g. highly dynamic aircraft) (Macedonia 95a). .	31
Figure 2.15.	Generalized relationships among Environmental Models, Basic Acoustic Models and Sonar Performance Models (Etter 91, p. 3). .	35
Figure 2.16.	Graphics visualization of <i>JASON</i> ROV approaching submerged wreck <i>HMS SCOURGE</i> (Stewart 92). . . . .	36
Figure 2.17.	Example Recursive Ray Acoustics (RRA) algorithm plot showing sound ray bending due to vertical and down-range sound speed profile (SSP) variations (Ziomek 93). . . . .	38
Figure 2.18.	<i>Jason</i> ROV mission profile and <i>JASON</i> Project communications links (Brown 93). . . . .	40
Figure 2.19.	<i>Jason</i> ROV mission playback from <i>JASON</i> Project 94 operating in an immersive CAVE environment at SIGGRAPH 94 (Feldman 94). .	41
Figure 2.20.	Autonomous Oceanographic Sampling Network (AOSN) environmental mission profile. Other planned mission profiles include marine operations, mineral resources and fisheries (Fricke 94) (Curtin 94). . . . .	42
Figure 2.21.	Initiative for Information Infrastructure and Linkage Applications (I <sup>3</sup> LA) high speed communications links. Fifty one schools and research institutions are being connected. . . . .	45
Figure 3.1.	NPS AUV underwater virtual world software architecture. . . . .	51
Figure 4.1.	Exterior view of NPS AUV, 8 plane surfaces and twin propellers. Length is 8' (2.4 m), height 10" (25.4 cm), width 16.5" (41.9 cm). Weight and buoyancy are each 435 lb (197.5 kg) when submerged.	61
Figure 4.2.	Internal view of principal NPS AUV components. Four cross-body thrusters: two lateral and two vertical. Two card cages contain 68030/OS-9 and 386/DOS microprocessors.	61

Figure 4.3.	NPS AUV II internal components layout (Torsiello 94). . . . .	62
Figure 4.4.	NPS AUV shown in test tank (Torsiello 94). . . . .	62
Figure 4.5.	Control algorithm coefficients from <i>mission.output.constants</i> file. .	72
Figure 4.6.	Telemetry vector elements. . . . .	74
Figure 4.7.	NPS AUV hardware configuration and internal interprocess communication (IPC). . . . .	75
Figure 4.8.	Data flow via the telemetry vector during each <i>sense-decide-act</i> cycle. . . . .	77
Figure 4.9.	Telemetry vector modifications during each <i>sense-decide-act</i> cycle.	78
Figure 5.1.	<i>Open Inventor</i> scene graph for the NPS AUV graphics model ( <i>auv.iv</i> ). . . . .	82
Figure 5.2.	<i>Open Inventor</i> rendering of <i>JASON</i> ROV graphics model. . . . .	85
Figure 5.3.	Engine animation scene graph for <i>JASON</i> ROV wandering behavior.	86
Figure 5.4.	Example Monterey Canyon bottom image recorded via Mbone video from the MBARI ROV <i>Ventana</i> . This image is applied as a bottom texture in the underwater virtual world. Used with permission. . .	87
Figure 6.1.	World coordinate system. . . . .	101
Figure 6.2.	<u>World coordinate system</u> : translation and rotation conventions. World x-axis = North, y-axis = East, z-axis = Depth. World-to-body Euler rotations occur in order: first yaw ( $\psi$ ), then pitch ( $\theta$ ), then roll ( $\phi$ ). . . . .	104
Figure 6.3.	<u>Body coordinate system</u> : linear and angular velocity conventions. Note that roll Euler angle rate $\neq$ roll rate, pitch Euler angle rate $\neq$ pitch rate, and yaw Euler angle rate $\neq$ yaw rate. . . . .	104
Figure 6.4.	Intermediate rotation axes for Euler angle rotations from world coordinate frame to body coordinate frame, adapted from (IEEE 94a). . . . .	105
Figure 6.5.	Underwater vehicle real-time hydrodynamics modeling algorithm.	154

Figure 6.6.	Quaternion representation. . . . .	156
Figure 6.7.	General real-time DIS-networked hydrodynamics model class hierarchy. . . . .	164
Figure 6.8.	OOSPIC class diagram template for C++ class definitions. Separation of class name, data fields, instantaneous methods and time-consuming methods clarifies class functionality and design. . . . .	165
Figure 6.9.	Object-Oriented Simulation Pictures (OOSPICs) arrow conventions.	165
Figure 7.1.	Correspondence between OSI and IP protocol layer models, and objects passed between corresponding layers on separate hosts. . . . .	174
Figure 7.2.	Summary of TCP/IP Internet layers functionality. . . . .	175
Figure 7.3.	Session directory ( <i>sd</i> ) programs available on the MBone. Note DIS packets for NPS AUV Underwater Virtual World are sent over the whiteboard address (orientation: <i>dis-auv-uvw</i> ). . . . .	180
Figure 7.4.	Universal Resource Locator (URL) components. . . . .	183
Figure 7.5.	Distributed communications in NPS AUV Underwater Virtual World. . . . .	186
Figure 8.1.	Representative sound speed profile (SSP) plot. Includes component conductivity (salinity), temperature and density (CTD) data plots (Rosenfeld 93). . . . .	191
Figure 8.2.	Example Recursive Ray Acoustics (RRA) algorithm plot showing dramatic sound ray bending due to sound speed profile (SSP) and down-range bathymetry variations (Ziomek 93). . . . .	192
Figure 8.3.	NPS AUV test tank geometry. . . . .	195
Figure 8.4.	Sonar pointing towards test tank wall, as seen from behind AUV.	196
Figure 8.5.	Preliminary listing of orthogonal sonar parameters and orthogonal computer graphics rendering techniques for scientific visualization . . . . .	198

Figure 8.6.	Example graphics visualization of subsampled Sound Speed Profile (SSP). Sound speed is mapped to cylinder color at intervals proportional to local depth, producing a 3D information icon. . . .	200
Figure 9.1.	Canonical execution level mission script: <i>mission.script.siggraph</i>	207
Figure 9.2.	Resulting time log of robot mission output orders: <i>mission.output.orders</i> . . . . .	208
Figure 9.3.	Geographic plot (world $x$ and $y$ coordinates) of AUV position track. . . . . .	211
Figure 9.4.	World position coordinate $x$ and derivative versus time $t$ . . . . .	211
Figure 9.5.	World position coordinate $y$ and derivative versus time $t$ . . . . .	212
Figure 9.6.	World depth coordinate $z$ and derivative versus time $t$ . . . . .	212
Figure 9.7.	World roll Euler angle $\phi$ and derivative versus time $t$ . . . . .	213
Figure 9.8.	World pitch Euler angle $\theta$ and derivative versus time $t$ . . . . .	213
Figure 9.9.	World theta Euler angle $\theta$ and related variables versus time $t$ . . .	214
Figure 9.10.	World yaw Euler angle $\psi$ and derivative versus time $t$ . . . . .	214
Figure 9.11.	World yaw Euler angle $\psi$ and lateral thrusters versus time $t$ . . .	215
Figure 9.12.	World depth coordinate $z$ and related variables versus time $t$ . . .	215
Figure 9.13.	Body longitudinal surge velocity $u$ versus time $t$ . . . . .	216
Figure 9.14.	Body lateral sway velocity $v$ versus time $t$ . . . . .	216
Figure 9.15.	Body vertical heave velocity $w$ versus time $t$ . . . . .	217
Figure 9.16.	Body longitudinal rotation roll rate $p$ versus time $t$ . . . . .	217
Figure 9.17.	Body rotational pitch rate $q$ versus time $t$ . . . . .	218
Figure 9.18.	Body vertical rotation yaw rate $r$ versus time $t$ . . . . .	218
Figure 9.19.	AUV bow rudders rotation (stern rudders opposed) versus time $t$ .	219

Figure 9.20.	AUV bow planes rotation (stern planes opposed) versus time $t$ .	219
Figure 9.21.	AUV port and starboard propeller speed versus time $t$ .	220
Figure 9.22.	AUV vertical and lateral thruster control voltages versus time $t$ .	220
Figure 9.23.	AUV initial turn using thrusters, propellers and planes.	221
Figure 9.24.	AUV nearing entry to torpedo tube. Note thruster response is not tuned to work together with cruise control and opposes yaw rate $r$ .	221

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 4.1.	NPS AUV Sonar Types and Specifications . . . . .	63
Table 6.1.	Hydrodynamics and Control System Variables . . . . .	125
Table 6.2.	Hydrodynamics Model Coefficients . . . . .	130
Table 9.1.	Timeline Analysis of SIGGRAPH Mission. . . . .	209

## **I. A VIRTUAL WORLD FOR AN AUTONOMOUS UNDERWATER VEHICLE**

### **A. INTRODUCTION**

A critical bottleneck exists in Autonomous Underwater Vehicle (AUV) design and development. It is tremendously difficult to observe, communicate with and test underwater robots, because they operate in a remote and hazardous environment where physical dynamics and sensing modalities are counterintuitive. An underwater virtual world can comprehensively model all necessary functional characteristics of the real world in real time. This virtual world is designed from the perspective of the robot, enabling realistic AUV evaluation and testing in the laboratory. 3D real-time graphics are our window into the virtual world. A networked architecture enables multiple world components to operate collectively in real time, and also permits world-wide observation and collaboration with other scientists interested in the robot and virtual world. This architecture was first proposed in (Brutzman 92d).

This dissertation develops and describes the software architecture of an underwater virtual world for an autonomous underwater robot. Multiple component models provide interactive real-time response for robot and human users. Theoretical development stresses a scalable distributed network approach, interoperability between models, physics-based reproduction of real-world response, and compatibility with open systems approaches. Implementation of the underwater virtual world and autonomous underwater robot are documented in a companion software reference (Brutzman 94e).

### **B. MOTIVATION**

Underwater robots are normally called Autonomous Underwater Vehicles (AUVs), not because they are intended to carry people but rather because they are designed to intelligently and independently convey sensors and payloads. AUVs must

accomplish complex tasks and diverse missions while maintaining stable physical control with six spatial degrees of freedom. Little or no communication with distant human supervisors is possible. When compared to indoor, ground, airborne or space environments, the underwater domain typically imposes the most restrictive physical control and sensor limitations upon a robot. Underwater robot design requirements therefore motivate this examination. Considerations and conclusions remain pertinent as worst-case examples relative to other environments.

A large gap exists between the projections of theory and the actual practice of underwater robot design. Despite a large number of remotely operated submersibles and a rich field of autonomous robot research results (Iyengar 90a, 90b), few AUVs exist and their capabilities are limited. Cost, inaccessibility and scope of AUV design restrict the number and reach of players involved. Interactions and interdependencies between hardware and software component problems are poorly understood. Testing is difficult, tedious, infrequent and potentially hazardous. Meaningful evaluation of results is hampered by overall problem complexity, sensor inadequacies and human inability to directly observe the robot *in situ*. Potential loss of an autonomous underwater robot is generally intolerable due to tremendous investment in time and resources, likelihood that any failure will become catastrophic and difficulty of recovery.

Underwater robot progress has been slow and painstaking for many reasons. By necessity most research is performed piecemeal and incrementally. For example, a narrow problem might be identified as suitable for solution by a particular artificial intelligence (AI) paradigm and then examined in great detail. Conjectures and theories are used to create an implementation which is tested by building a model or simulation specifically suited to the problem in question. Test success or failure is used to interpret validity of conclusions. Unfortunately, integration of the design process or even final results into a working robot is often difficult or impossible. Lack of integrated testing prevents complete verification of conclusions.

AUV design must provide autonomy, stability and reliability with little tolerance for error. Control systems require particular attention since closed-form solutions for many hydrodynamics control issues are unknown. In addition, AI methodologies are essential for many critical robot software components, but the interaction complexity and emergent behavior of multiple interacting AI processes is poorly understood, rarely tested and impossible to formally specify (Shank 91). Better approaches are needed to support coordinated research, design and implementation of underwater robots.

Despite these many handicaps, the numerous challenges of operating in the underwater environment force designers to build robots that are truly robust, autonomous, mobile and stable. This fits well with a motivating philosophy of Hans Moravec (Moravec 83, 88):

.. solving the day to day problems of developing a mobile organism steers one in the direction of general intelligence... Mobile robotics may or may not be the fastest way to arrive at general human competence in machines, but I believe it is one of the surest roads. (Moravec 83)

## C. OBJECTIVES

This dissertation addresses the following research questions:

- What is the software architecture required to build an underwater virtual world for an autonomous underwater vehicle?
- How can an underwater robot be connected to a virtual world so seamlessly that operation in the real world or a virtual world is transparent to the robot?
- What previous work in robotics, simulation, 3D interactive computer graphics, hydrodynamics, networking and sonar visualization are pertinent to construction of an underwater virtual world?
- What are the functional specifications of a prototypical AUV, and what are the functional specifications of robot interactions with the surrounding environment?
- How can 3D real-time interactive computer graphics support wide-scale general access to virtual worlds? Specifically, how can computer graphics be used to build windows into an underwater virtual world that are responsive, accurate, distributable, represent objects in openly standardized formats, and provide portability to multiple computer architectures?
- What is the structure and derivation for an accurate six degree-of-freedom underwater rigid body hydrodynamics model? The model must precisely reproduce vehicle physical response in real time, while responding to modeled ocean currents and control orders from the vehicle itself. The hydrodynamics model must be general, verifiable, parameterizable for other vehicles, and suitable for distributed simulation. Such a model is highly complex due to multiple interacting effects coupled between all six degrees of freedom.
- What are the principal network software components needed to build a virtual world that can scale up to very large numbers of interacting models, datasets, information streams and users? How can these network components provide interactive real-time response for multiple low- and high-bandwidth information streams over local and global communications networks?
- Sonar is the most effective detection sensor used by underwater vehicles. Sonar parameters pertinent to visualization and rendering include sound speed profile (SSP), highly-variable sound wave path propagation, and sound pressure level (SPL) attenuation. How can a general sonar model be networked to provide real-time response despite high computational complexity? How can scientific visualization techniques be applied to outputs of the sonar model to render numerous interacting physical effects varying in three spatial dimensions and time?
- How can these concepts be implemented in a working system?

## **D. DISSERTATION ORGANIZATION**

The real world is a big place. Virtual worlds must also be comprehensive and diverse if they are to permit credible reproductions of real world behavior. A variety of architectural components are described in this dissertation. Ways to scale up and arbitrarily extend the underwater virtual world to include very large numbers of users, models and information resources are included throughout.

Chapter II reviews related work in underwater robotics, robotics simulation, underwater vehicle hydrodynamics, robot simulation, computer networking, and scientific visualization of sonar models. Chapter III provides precise problem statements and solution overviews, both for the general dissertation topic as well as individual virtual world components. Chapter IV presents the functional characteristics of the NPS AUV, the underwater robot which has been networked with the underwater virtual world. Chapter V describes the requirements and design decisions made in building an object-oriented real-time interactive 3D computer graphics viewer. Chapter VI derives novel extensions to an underwater vehicle hydrodynamics model which permit real-time networked response, standardized nomenclature, suitability for parameterized use by other underwater vehicles, and correctness both in cruise and hover modes. Chapter VII identifies and examines the four network capabilities necessary for scalable and globally distributable virtual worlds. Network considerations include both tight and loose temporal coupling, low-bandwidth and high-bandwidth information streams, audio, video, graphics, multimedia, posture updates using the Distributed Interactive Simulation (DIS) protocol, and very large numbers of connecting models and users. Chapter VIII outlines a general sonar model, presents an example geometric sonar model, and describes how scientific visualization techniques might be applied to render the large set of important characteristic values which describe sonar behavior. Chapter IX presents experimental results for the hydrodynamics model and network performance during distributed exercises. Chapter X summarizes the many dissertation conclusions identified in

preceding chapters. An acronym appendix is provided for reader convenience. Finally an accompanying video appendix documents performance of the NPS AUV operating in the underwater virtual world and presents a variety of exercise scenarios.

The structure of the accompanying software reference (Brutzman 94e) parallels the organization of this dissertation. All source code, support files and compiled executable programs are also freely available via Internet access using anonymous file transfer protocol (ftp) access. The software reference includes help files and source code for archive installation, the NPS AUV robot execution level, 3D computer graphics viewer, hydrodynamics, sonar modeling, networking, and use of the World-Wide Web (WWW) and Multicast Backbone (MBone).